## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

MARVIN BELSER, SR., ET AL.,

Plaintiff,	Case Number: 2:16-cv-12792 HON. VICTORIA A. ROBERTS
V.	
VONDA EVANS, ET AL.,	
Defendants.	/

## ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS (ECF Nos. 14, 15 & 16)

Plaintiff Marvin Belser, Sr., filed a *pro se* complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and named two defendants, Wayne County Circuit Court Judges Vonda Evans and Sheila Ann Gibson Manning. He challenged the Defendants' decisions related to a child protective services case and his criminal trial and claimed that their actions violated Michigan's Public Health Code. The Court dismissed the complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court also dismissed the five additional plaintiffs named by Plaintiff because they did not sign the complaint and there was no indication that the additional plaintiffs were even aware that the complaint had been filed. Now before the Court are several motions filed by Plaintiff.

Plaintiff has filed two motions for leave to file an amended complaint. (ECF Nos. 15 &16). "[A] district court may not permit a plaintiff to amend his complaint to defeat dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)." *Moniz v. Hines*, 92 F. App'x 208, 212 (6th Cir.

2004). Section 1915 "also proscribes a district court from permitting a plaintiff to amend

his complaint after that court has dismissed the complaint under § 1915(e)(2)." Id.

(emphasis in original). The ban on amending a complaint after dismissal under §

1915(e)(2) applies without regard to whether the amendment would cure the deficiencies

in the original complaint. *Id.* at n.5. The Court denies leave to amend. In his first motion

to file amended complaint (ECF No. 15), Plaintiff also seeks leave to proceed in forma

pauperis on appeal. The Court already held that any appeal could not be taken in good

faith and denied in forma pauperis status on that basis. See 10/4/16 Order (ECF No. 11).

Nothing in Petitioner's motion warrants reconsideration of that decision and the Court

denies leave to appeal in forma pauperis. Plaintiff may still seek leave from the Sixth

Circuit Cuort of Appeals to proceed in forma pauperis under Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5).

Finally, Plaintiff's Motion for an Attorney for Counsel and to Request a Jury Trial

is moot because the complaint has been dismissed.

The Court DENIES Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel and Request a Jury

Trial (ECF No. 14), Motion to Filed an Amended Complaint or to File Sixth Circuit In

Forma Pauperis (ECF No. 15), and Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint

(ECF No. 16).

SO ORDERED.

S/Victoria A. Roberts

VICTORIA A. ROBERTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: December 19, 2016

2